UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES FILED

		2008 JUL -7 PM 2055pace for Clerk's Office File Stamp
TO:	Mary a promote	CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
FROM: L Odierno, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE 7/1/08		
CAS	SE NO.: <u>08cv725</u> -	H-WMC DOCUMENT FILED BY: Montgomery Carl Akers
CAS	SE TITLE: <u>Akers v</u>	Rokusek et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for free copies		
	Upon the subm	ission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
Y	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<u> </u>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
		OTHER:
Date forwarded: 7/2/08		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE T JS HEREBY ORDERED:		
1	The document is to	be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
3	copy of this order o	
	Rejected document	to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: HUFF By: LCI	
	Dated: 7/7/C cc: All Parties	By: <u>C1</u>